

ANTHRACYCLINE SPECTRA UNDER ANALYSES







Chetritt Aurore, Malin Marie-Sara, Le Meur Lucie, Rigal Marthe, Falconieri Lorenzo, Jacolot Anne, Apparuit Maxime Avicenne Hospital, Cytotoxic Preparations Unit and Control Laboratory;

Introduction

The identification of 4 anthracycline molecules: Epirubicin (EPI), Daunorubicin (DAUNO), Doxorubicin (DOXO) and Idarubicin (IDA), is non-specific by analysis with the QCRx® spectrophotometer (in UV - Raman), requiring a systematic double check of the visual identification of the vial. In order to improve this control, an analysis of the spectra of these molecules is carried out using high-pressure liquid chromatography (HPLC) as a function of temperature and derivatisation, with the aim of checking whether they show spectral differences.

Objective



Analysis of anthracycline spectral data as a function of temperature and derivation of spectra

Materials & Methods



- High-Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) method
- Shimadzu Nexera X2®. UV-Vis detector (190-700nm)
- Direct injection
- Labsolutions® software



- Spectral library consisting of 8 samples per anthracycline of increasing concentration
- At 3 different temperatures (Ambient, 15°C and 10°C),
- •At 3 derivatives (no derivative-SD, first derivative-1D and second derivative-2D).
- Sample diluted with 0.9% NaCL
- · Products used:
- Idarubicin 1mg/ml PFIZER®
- Epirubicin 2mg/ml MEDAC®
- Daunorubicin 5mg/ml SANOFI®
- Doxorubicin 2mg/ml ACCORD®



- Analysis of spectra acquired between 0.260 and 0.265min by similarity (lambda min/max) with variations in the method (temperature) and variations in analysis.
- 6 identifications per sample analysed
- 6 similarity scores
- A different sample identification is used to calculate the error rate (ER) per molecule.
- Statistical analyses are carried out using Excel® and XLStat®.

Results

The results are presented with: The parameters tested - The results of the identification of the sample tested (6 results per sample) with the spectral library - The results of the similarity score (%RESS) of the sample with the spectral library.



Beater Claberty J	Temperatu *	Dérivé 🕶	DCI / Concentration / Fournisseur	ID 1	ID 2	▼ ID 3	▼ ID 4	▼ ID	5	" ID6 "	RESS1 *	RESS2 *	RESS3 🕶	RESS4 *	RESS5 *	RESS6 *	V/F ~
214003	10°C	1ere	DAUNO	Daunorub	Epirut	oicine Epiru	bicine Daun	orubi Da	unorut	oi Epirubicin	0,981507	0,918226	0,916445	0,907475	0,878794	0,86202	VRAI
214003	15°C	1ere	DAUNO	Daunorub	Epirut	icine Daun	orubi Doxo	ubici Da	unorut	oi Epirubicin	0,997396	0,992852	0,992091	0,98848	0,968584	0,963613	VRAI
214003	Ambiant	1ere	DAUNO	Daunorub	Epirut	oicine Doxo	rubici Epiru	oicine Da	unorut	Doxorubic	0,991529	0,99042	0,989121	0,983833	0,967408	0,961839	VRAI
214003	10°C	2nd	DAUNO	Daunorub	Epirut	icine Daun	orubi Epiru	oicine Da	unorut	Daunorub	0,90643	0,724143	0,680598	0,580881	0,578759	0,468476	VRAI
214003	15°C	2nd	DAUNO	Daunorub	Epirut	olcine Doxo	rubici Epiru	oicine Do	xorubi	ci Epirubicin	0,990112	0,975115	0,954424	0,949975	0,936335	0,93614	VRAI
214003	Ambiant	2nd	DAUNO	Daunorub	Doxor	ubici Epiru	bicine Epiru	oicine Ep	irubici	ne Doxorubic	0,976873	0,905327	0,891876	0,887261	0,879315	0,878928	VRAI
214003	Ambiant	Sans dérivé	DAUNO	Daunorub	Epirut	oicine Doxo	rubici Daun	orubi Ep	irubicii	ne Daunorub	0,998891	0,998339	0,997917	0,997736	0,997654	0,997255	VRAI
214003	15°C	Sans dérivé	DAUNO	Daunorub	Doxor	ubici Daun	orubi Epiru	oicine Da	unorut	oi Epirubicin	0,999518	0,998788	0,9983	0,998075	0,997852	0,997779	VRAI
214003	10°C	Sans dérivé	DAUNO	Daunorub	Epirut	icine Doxo	rubici Doxo	ubici Ec	irubici	ne Daunorub	0.999078	0.998918	0 997384	0.997192	0.996849	0.996677	VRAI

→ 47 samples (DOXO=14, EPI = 14, DAUNO=8, IDA=7) were analysed for a total of 383 spectral data.

The ER by DCI, all temperatures and derivatives combined, obtained are:

	DOXO	EPI	DAUNO	IDA
TRUE	107	73	46	60
FALSE	30	49	17	3
TOTAL	137	122	63	63
ER (%)	22%	40%	27%	5%

Only IDA shows ER at 0%, particularly at room temperature and +15°C, whatever the derivative

Additional analysis for IDA: A mean comparison test of the similarity scores (score of 1st compliant RESS=IDA vs score of 2nd non-compliant RESS=non IDA) revealed a significant difference between the 1st% and the 2nd%

Difference	0,369
t (Observed value)	13,102
t (Critical value)	1,980
DDL	118
p-value (bilateral)	<0,0001
alpha	0,05

An ANOVA on repeated measures of the similarity score data 1st%RESS compliant=IDA vs 2nd%RESS non-compliant= non IDA revealed a more marked difference for the 1D and 2D spectra between the two scores: %

Derivative*Reco / Tukey (HSD) / Analysis of differences between modalities with a 95% confidence interval:									
Contraste	Difference	Standardised difference	Valeur Critq	Pr > Diff	Significatif				
Derivative-2nd*Reco-1R vs Derivative-2nd*Reco-2R	0,568	312,022	2,936	< 0,0001	Oui				
Derivative-1rst*Reco-1R vs Déerivative-1rst*Reco-2R	0,460	253,076	2,936	< 0,0001	Oui				
Derivative-No derivative*Reco-1R vs Derivative-No derivative*Reco-2R	0.057	31.212	2.936	< 0.0001	Oui				

The ER, as a function of temperature and the derivative of the spectra, obtained are :

Derived	SD	1D	2D
TRUE	88	100	100
FALSE	39	28	28
TOTAL	127	128	128
ER (%)	31%	22%	22%

Chi² test negative. No significant difference between the different proportions = no impact of the derivative on ER

				7		
emperature	Amb SD	15° SD	10° SD			
TRUE	33	30	25	Negative Chi ² test (p-value = 0.526)		
FALSE	13	11	15	(p value = 0,520)	*	
TOTAL	46	41	40	1	Г	
ER (%)	28%	27%	38%			No significant
				_		difference
				7		between the
emperature	Amb 1D	15° 1D	10° 1D		_ I	
VRAI	35	32	33	Negative Chi ² test		different
FAUX	11	9	8	(p-value = 0,884)		proportions =
TOTAL	46	41	41		_	no impact of
ER (%)	24%	22%	20%			temperature
				_		on ER
				7	L	****
emperature	Amb 2D	15° 2D	10° 2D		4	
TRUE	33	34	33	Negative Chi² test	$\neg \nearrow$	
FALSE	13	7	8	(p-value = 0,410)	r	
TOTAL	46	41	Δ1	⊺ ـــــــــــ		

Discussion & Conclusion



Analysis of HPLC spectral data for anthracyclines showed a TE and a statistically significant analysis only for IDA, which would eliminate the need for visual inspection of the vial. It is difficult to extrapolate the results to the control method used routinely, and further analysis is required.